





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Webshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/114,665	07/13/1998	THOMAS R. BIELER	6550000013	9522	
7	590 09/17/2002				
HARNESS DICKEY & PIERCE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			IP, SIF	CYIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXA	MINER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
!	18
DATE MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

□ TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:							
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection					
b) [	expires three months from the date event however, will the statutory pe	of the final rejection or as of the mai eriod for the response expire later tha	ling date of this Advisory Action, whichever is $\mathfrak n$ six months from the date of the final reject	s later. In no ion.				
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
<b>₽</b> Vt	pellant's Brief is due in accordance	with 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
			en considered with the following effect, but it					
1. 🔃	The proposed amendments to the c	laim and /or specification will not be	entered and the final rejection stands because	se:				
	a. There is no convincing showing presented.	ng under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the p	roposed amendment is necessary and was r	not earlier				
	b. They raise new issues that w	ould require further consideration and	Vor search. (See Note).					
	c. They raise the issue of new r	natter. (See Note).						
	d. They are not deemed to pla appeal.	ce the application in better form for a	opeal by materially reducing or simplifying th	e issues for				
	e. They present additional claim	ns without cancelling a corresponding	number of finally rejected claims.					
	NOTE: The party proposed bimitations have never appeared in claims, they true new issues that would require further was identified. The expression "the components of"							
2. 🗀	Newly proposed or amended claim		ved if submitted in a separately filed amendm					
	the non-allowable claims.	Would be allow		ieni Cancenng				
3. 🗹	Upon the filing an appeal, the propose as follows:	osed amendment  will be entered	will not be entered and the status of the	claims will				
	Claims allowed:	<u>e</u>						
	Claims objected to: 26 -3	58	<del></del>					
	However;		<del></del>					
	Applicant's response has over	come the following rejection(s):	***************************************					
4 5	The affidavit axhibit or request for	reconsideration has been enseidered	hut doos not average the selection have	- 54 care				
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of saison. S  as at fault in lage No. 15 Applicants agreement as soft fault in and full paragraph on 5 of the Tuestant remarks is retain								
5.			shown good and sufficent reasons why it was					
☐ The	proposed drawing correction	nas  has not been approved by t	he examiner.	C				
Oth	er & But, it is.	found inconsiste	nt with instant	SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINEI				
Other & But it is found inconsistent with instart PRIMARY EXAMINE!  claims which expressly resited "the components of said intermetallic component." in step (b) of claims								
PTOL 200 (REV. 5.89) 26, 42, and 53, not a formed international phase								
PTOL 30	3 (REV. 5-89) 26 , 42 , 6	and 53, net a t	ormed internetalic pha	97-117-381/62704 				